

eEvaluate Report - Fall 2020

Course: ECON 6343-001

College of Arts and Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Econometrics III

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Tyler Ransom

Section Size: X-Small 0-15

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
1. Extent to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.00	74.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.82889		51	0.00	3.92	9.80	27.45	58.82		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29140	5	0.91745	0.50	779	1.54	3.47	12.07	30.17	52.76		
	COLLEGE	3.92228	4	1.03488	0.80	23,918	2.98	5.80	22.44	33.56	35.22		
2. Ability of the instructor to respond to a wide range of questions about the material in this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	50.00	52.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.70588	5	0.75615		51	1.96	1.96	0.00	15.69	80.39		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.52564	5	0.85876	0.26	780	0.90	3.33	8.97	15.90	70.90		
	COLLEGE	4.17093	5	1.06988	0.54	23,887	3.04	5.67	14.93	23.90	52.46		
3. Instructor's promptness in returning exams and assignments so they could be useful for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.68627	5	0.61612		51	0.00	1.96	1.96	21.57	74.51		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.24422	5	1.05547	0.72	778	2.70	5.27	14.40	20.18	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.00901	4	1.15532	0.86	23,853	4.45	7.19	18.01	23.71	46.64		
4. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	80.00	64.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.54902	5	0.85589		51	1.96	0.00	11.76	13.73	72.55		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.43462	5	0.88175	0.36	780	1.41	2.69	10.00	22.82	63.08		
	COLLEGE	4.07153	4	1.08112	0.63	23,865	2.95	6.54	17.81	25.82	46.88		
5. Instructor's ability to stimulate continuing interest in the subject matter	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	80.00	67.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94184		51	1.96	3.92	7.84	23.53	62.75		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.32429	5	0.97816	0.44	774	1.94	4.65	11.24	23.39	58.79		
	COLLEGE	3.91931	4	1.20711	0.69	23,833	5.68	8.10	18.82	23.40	44.00		
6. Overall instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	1.06163		51	3.92	3.92	7.84	15.69	68.63		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.32436	5	0.99093	0.68	780	2.31	4.49	10.77	23.33	59.10		
	COLLEGE	3.96772	4	1.17901	0.88	23,854	5.19	7.50	17.53	24.91	44.87		
7. Instructor's management of the course was	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35294	5	0.93431		51	1.96	1.96	13.73	23.53	58.82		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.28958	5	1.02284	0.69	777	2.57	4.76	12.23	22.01	58.43		
	COLLEGE	4.04902	4	1.14158	0.83	23,845	4.29	6.74	16.91	23.94	48.14		
8. Amount you learned in this class	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.00	79.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.27451	5	0.89618		51	1.96	1.96	11.76	35.29	49.02		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.19554	4	0.96446	0.57	762	2.10	3.94	14.17	31.89	47.90		
	COLLEGE	3.80733	4	1.01034	0.93	22,640	2.80	6.40	26.51	35.84	28.45		
9. Workload of this course compared to others a similar level	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	80.00	82.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.70588	3	0.96528		51	0.00	5.88	47.06	17.65	29.41		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.57218	3	0.94981	0.45	762	1.84	5.51	48.03	22.83	21.78		
	COLLEGE	3.40628	3	0.83991	0.71	22,637	1.27	6.11	56.63	22.69	13.29		
10. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.05273		51	3.92	5.88	5.88	37.25	47.06		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.17632	4	0.97827	0.84	760	1.71	4.74	16.05	29.21	48.29		
	COLLEGE	3.77877	4	1.04529	1.17	22,610	2.62	8.27	27.63	31.59	29.89		
11. Overall, this course was	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.00	70.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.29412	5	1.00587		51	1.96	7.84	3.92	31.37	54.90		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.28289	5	1.01386	0.46	760	2.11	5.66	11.58	23.16	57.50		
	COLLEGE	3.89012	4	1.15136	0.75	22,625	4.63	8.08	20.33	27.54	39.41		
12. This course was graded fairly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.79167	5	0.58194		48	0.00	2.08	2.08	10.42	85.42		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.76667	5	0.60941	0.38	750	0.53	1.47	2.00	12.80	83.20		
	COLLEGE	4.59120	5	0.75986	0.54	22,581	0.68	2.43	5.41	20.05	71.43		

eEvaluate Report - Fall 2020

College of Arts and Sciences

Course: ECON 6343-001

Section Title: Econometrics III

Instructor: Tyler Ransom

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Section Size: X-Small 0-15

Question

1. What were the strong points of the course?
2. What were the weak points of the course?
3. What should the instructor do to improve their teaching?
4. What is your overall opinion of this course?

Comment

1. The course was a broad survey of a lot of different econometric techniques. The focus towards the beginning on discrete choice models is very effective. I learned a lot from that section, much that I had not been exposed to before. Very effective section.

2. Towards the end it felt as though the mini-units covered were too broad-brush to get too much out of.

3. Dr. Ransom is great at presenting material in a clear and concise manner. Enjoyable lecturer.

4. Great course! Lots of great tools for any analyst of empirical data.

1. The material covered in the course was extremely helpful; from the books to the articles. Dr Ransom has a grip over the material and so he was able to deliver almost all the topics really well.

2. The only issue was that we had to solve problem sets by writing in a completely new programming language, Julia, which was super difficult. I would have preferred if we worked using STATA or R. I think opening the course to the general public (on Zoom) was not a good idea. Although it benefitted them I personally believe it hampered the learning of the students taking the course at OU because it was a hindrance in asking simple questions. The general audience had a much better understanding of the topics being discussed so their questions were quite advanced compared to ours.

3. Dr Ransom has a really good teaching style and goes through the material in detail, however the only drawback is that sometimes it gets quite monotonous.

4. I enjoyed this course overall.

eValuate Report - Fall 2020

Response Key

1. Extent to which the instructor contributed to your learning	1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average
2. Ability of the instructor to respond to a wide range of questions about the material in this course	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent
3. Instructor's promptness in returning exams and assignments so they could be useful for learning	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent
4. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent
5. Instructor's ability to stimulate continuing interest in the subject matter	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent
6. Overall instructor's teaching effectiveness was	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent
7. Instructor's management of the course was	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent
8. Amount you learned in this class	1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average
9. Workload of this course compared to others a similar level	1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average
10. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent
11. Overall, this course was	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent
12. This course was graded fairly	1 = Never, 2 = Seldom, 3 = Occasionally, 4 = Usually, 5 = Always